

```

-----  

. /* *** To demonstrate use of 2SLS ***/  

.  

. * Case: In the early 1990's Tanzania implemented a FP program to reduce fertility, which was among  

the highest in the world  

. * The FP program had two main components: provision of FP methods through health facilities, and  

IEC (information, education, and communication)  

. * An evaluation was carried out in early 1990's  

. * We are evaluating the impact of the IEC component on Family Size Preferences  

. * A DHS was conducted in 1996  

. * Outcome of interest: Ideal number of children (idealnum), it is a continuous variable  

. * Program variable of interest: Number of FP messages seen (numfpmess)  

.  

. /* File 1996 Tanzania DHS */  

. clear  

.  

. use newtanz96  

.  

. count  

6,866  

.  

. rename cluster clusterid  

.  

. * Review structure of the data  

.  

. * Basic information  

. tab idealnum
    Ideal number of |  

      children | Freq.    Percent     Cum.  

-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
          0 |       6       0.09     0.09  

          1 |      39       0.57     0.66  

          2 |     407       5.93     6.58  

          3 |     736      10.72    17.30  

          4 |    1,783      25.97    43.27  

          5 |    1,173      17.08    60.36  

          6 |    1,267      18.45    78.81  

          7 |     314       4.57    83.38  

          8 |     458       6.67    90.05  

          9 |     103       1.50    91.55  

         10 |     468       6.82    98.37  

         11 |      12       0.17    98.54  

         12 |      58       0.84    99.39  

         13 |       5       0.07    99.46  

         14 |       1       0.01    99.48  

         15 |      16       0.23    99.71  

         16 |       4       0.06    99.77  

         20 |      15       0.22    99.99  

         30 |       1       0.01   100.00  

-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
          Total |     6,866     100.00  

.  

. tab numfpmess
    numfpmess | Freq.    Percent     Cum.  

-----+-----+-----+-----+
        0 | 2,377      34.62    34.62  

        1 | 1,338      19.49    54.11  

        2 |   930      13.55    67.65  

        3 |   639       9.31    76.96  

        4 |   491       7.15    84.11  

        5 |   373       5.43    89.54  

        6 |   324       4.72    94.26  

        7 |   257       3.74    98.00  

        8 |   137       2.00   100.00  

-----+-----+-----+-----+
          Total |     6,866     100.00
.
```

```

. ****
. * Case I: Two continuous dependent variables
. ****
. /*
> We are interested in the impact of the IEC program (numfpmess) on Ideal Number of Children
> To estimate the impact of the IEC program you need to specify an equation for idealnum. So,
> Equation 1: idealnum = f(age, education, area of residence, being poor, availability of FP methods,
and number of FP messages seen)
>
> But, we suspect that numfpmess is endogenous, so you need to specify a second equation for
numfpmess:
> Equation 2: numfpmess=f(age, education, area of residence, being poor, availability of FP methods,
and IV variables)
> */
.
. /* This is the main equation of interest without correction for endogeneity */
.
. regress idealnum age20_24 age25_29 age30_34 age35_39 age40_44 age45_49 edu16 edu7 edu8p poor rural
hofp5 hcfp5 difp5 numfpmess, vce(cluster clusterid)

```

Linear regression

	Number of obs	=	6,866
F(15, 326)	=	96.65	
Prob > F	=	0.0000	
R-squared	=	0.2204	
Root MSE	=	2.0828	

(Std. Err. adjusted for 327 clusters in clusterid)

idealnum	Robust				
	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
age20_24	.54018	.0709194	7.62	0.000	.4006625 .6796975
age25_29	.9008975	.0770944	11.69	0.000	.7492322 1.052563
age30_34	1.234149	.0867003	14.23	0.000	1.063586 1.404712
age35_39	1.490688	.094516	15.77	0.000	1.304749 1.676626
age40_44	1.671066	.128447	13.01	0.000	1.418376 1.923756
age45_49	1.852991	.1569793	11.80	0.000	1.544171 2.161811
edu16	-.7927907	.097326	-8.15	0.000	-.9842569 -.6013244
edu7	-1.107775	.1069401	-10.36	0.000	-1.318155 -.8973956
edu8p	-1.916019	.1397935	-13.71	0.000	-2.19103 -1.641008
poor	.2857614	.1206765	2.37	0.018	.0483584 .5231643
rural	.5607607	.1175471	4.77	0.000	.3295142 .7920073
hofp5	-.0781175	.135658	-0.58	0.565	-.344993 .188758
hcfp5	.0159511	.1196267	0.13	0.894	-.2193867 .2512889
difp5	-.0796897	.1059243	-0.75	0.452	-.2880713 .1286918
numfpmess	-.094716	.0141647	-6.69	0.000	-.1225817 -.0668502
_cons	5.049447	.1742879	28.97	0.000	4.706576 5.392317

```

. /* To control for the endogeneity of numfpmess we need to apply 2SLS: Two Stage Least Squares */
. /* First Stage:
> Run the regression for numfpmess */
. /* The identifying variables are lisradio and soapop */
.
. regress numfpmess age20_24 age25_29 age30_34 age35_39 age40_44 age45_49 edu16 edu7 edu8p poor rural
hofp5 hcfp5 difp5 lisradio soapop, vce(cluster clusterid)

```

Linear regression

	Number of obs	=	6,866
F(16, 326)	=	172.13	
Prob > F	=	0.0000	
R-squared	=	0.4261	
Root MSE	=	1.6716	

(Std. Err. adjusted for 327 clusters in clusterid)

numfpmess	Robust				
	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
age20_24	.5066266	.0657321	7.71	0.000	.3773141 .6359391
age25_29	.6029298	.0703205	8.57	0.000	.4645906 .7412689
age30_34	.7152608	.0719955	9.93	0.000	.5736265 .8568952
age35_39	.7908484	.078767	10.04	0.000	.6358926 .9458041
age40_44	.7314801	.0808561	9.05	0.000	.5724146 .8905456

age45_49	.3812395	.0817277	4.66	0.000	.2204592	.5420198
edu16	.466958	.060911	7.67	0.000	.3471297	.5867864
edu7	.8745185	.0578633	15.11	0.000	.760686	.9883511
edu8p	1.737677	.1410064	12.32	0.000	1.460279	2.015074
poor	-.2333752	.0551657	-4.23	0.000	-.3419008	-.1248496
rural	-.5734665	.1102345	-5.20	0.000	-.7903272	-.3566058
hofp5	.235844	.0906072	2.60	0.010	.0575954	.4140926
hcfp5	-.0052702	.0709928	-0.07	0.941	-.1449321	.1343917
difp5	.1620166	.0650989	2.49	0.013	.0339496	.2900835
lisradio	.5614473	.0614692	9.13	0.000	.4405209	.6823737
soapop	1.744989	.0736808	23.68	0.000	1.600039	1.889939
_cons	.4568662	.1337546	3.42	0.001	.1937351	.7199972

. /* Test that the identifying variables (lisaradio, soapop) are good predictors */.
. test lisradio soapop

```
( 1) lisradio = 0
( 2) soapop = 0

F(  2,    326) =  384.77
               Prob > F =      0.0000
```

. /* Obtain the estimated predicted values of numfpmess */.
. predict pnumfpmess
(option xb assumed; fitted values)

. /* Second Stage */.
. * Replace the original numfpmess variable by its predicted value (pnumfpmess) in the first equation, and run the modified equation

```
regress idealnum age20_24 age25_29 age30_34 age35_39 age40_44 age45_49 edu16 edu7 edu8p poor rural
hofp5 hcfp5 difp5 pnumfpmess, vce(cluster clusterid)
```

Linear regression

Number of obs	=	6,866
F(15, 326)	=	100.07
Prob > F	=	0.0000
R-squared	=	0.2228
Root MSE	=	2.0797

(Std. Err. adjusted for 327 clusters in clusterid)

idealnum	Robust					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
age20_24	.6324292	.0725749	8.71	0.000	.4896548	.7752035
age25_29	.9995317	.079045	12.65	0.000	.8440291	1.155034
age30_34	1.354261	.0901298	15.03	0.000	1.176951	1.53157
age35_39	1.626333	.0938612	17.33	0.000	1.441683	1.810983
age40_44	1.792447	.1298266	13.81	0.000	1.537044	2.047851
age45_49	1.898088	.1545886	12.28	0.000	1.593971	2.202205
edu16	-.6976763	.0988575	-7.06	0.000	-.8921554	-.5031973
edu7	-.9038628	.1080823	-8.36	0.000	-1.11649	-.691236
edu8p	-1.51835	.1492792	-10.17	0.000	-1.812022	-1.224678
poor	.1889899	.1217295	1.55	0.122	-.0504845	.4284643
rural	.385538	.12273	3.14	0.002	.1440953	.6269808
hofp5	-.0119034	.1354915	-0.09	0.930	-.2784515	.2546446
hcfp5	.0164262	.1190232	0.14	0.890	-.2177244	.2505767
difp5	-.0439007	.1059769	-0.41	0.679	-.2523856	.1645842
pnumfpmess	-.2520981	.0291238	-8.66	0.000	-.3093924	-.1948038
_cons	5.248691	.180579	29.07	0.000	4.893444	5.603939

. /* Compare the results */.
.

```

. /* Exogeneity Test */

. /* For the exogeneity test you need to obtain the estimated residuals from the numfpmess equation */

. regress numfpmess age20_24 age25_29 age30_34 age35_39 age40_44 age45_49 edu16 edu7 edu8p poor rural
   hofp5 hcfp5 difp5 lisradio soapop, vce(cluster clusterid)

Linear regression
Number of obs      =       6,866
F(16, 326)        =      172.13
Prob > F          =      0.0000
R-squared          =      0.4261
Root MSE           =      1.6716
(Std. Err. adjusted for 327 clusters in clusterid)
-----
```

numfpmess	Robust					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
age20_24	.5066266	.0657321	7.71	0.000	.3773141	.6359391
age25_29	.6029298	.0703205	8.57	0.000	.4645906	.7412689
age30_34	.7152608	.0719955	9.93	0.000	.5736265	.8568952
age35_39	.7908484	.078767	10.04	0.000	.6358926	.9458041
age40_44	.7314801	.0808561	9.05	0.000	.5724146	.8905456
age45_49	.3812395	.0817277	4.66	0.000	.2204592	.5420198
edu16	.466958	.060911	7.67	0.000	.3471297	.5867864
edu7	.8745185	.0578633	15.11	0.000	.760686	.9883511
edu8p	1.737677	.1410064	12.32	0.000	1.460279	2.015074
poor	-.2333752	.0551657	-4.23	0.000	-.3419008	-.1248496
rural	-.5734665	.1102345	-5.20	0.000	-.7903272	-.3566058
hofp5	.235844	.0906072	2.60	0.010	.0575954	.4140926
hcfp5	-.0052702	.0709928	-0.07	0.941	-.1449321	.1343917
difp5	.1620166	.0650989	2.49	0.013	.0339496	.2900835
lisradio	.5614473	.0614692	9.13	0.000	.4405209	.6823737
soapop	1.744989	.0736808	23.68	0.000	1.600039	1.889939
_cons	.4568662	.1337546	3.42	0.001	.1937351	.7199972

```

. predict resnumfp,res

. /* Include the estimated residuals in the original first equation */
. regress idealnum age20_24 age25_29 age30_34 age35_39 age40_44 age45_49 edu16 edu7 edu8p poor rural
   hofp5 hcfp5 difp5 numfpmess resnumfp, vce(cluster clusterid)

Linear regression
Number of obs      =       6,866
F(16, 326)        =      94.73
Prob > F          =      0.0000
R-squared          =      0.2243
Root MSE           =      2.0778
(Std. Err. adjusted for 327 clusters in clusterid)
-----
```

idealnum	Robust					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
age20_24	.6324292	.0724912	8.72	0.000	.4898195	.7750388
age25_29	.9995317	.0788049	12.68	0.000	.8445014	1.154562
age30_34	1.354261	.0901098	15.03	0.000	1.176991	1.531531
age35_39	1.626333	.0936483	17.37	0.000	1.442101	1.810564
age40_44	1.792447	.1298866	13.80	0.000	1.536926	2.047969
age45_49	1.898088	.1548276	12.26	0.000	1.593501	2.202675
edu16	-.6976763	.098489	-7.08	0.000	-.8914306	-.5039221
edu7	-.9038628	.1076775	-8.39	0.000	-1.115693	-.6920324
edu8p	-1.51835	.1498853	-10.13	0.000	-1.813214	-1.223485
poor	.1889899	.1209297	1.56	0.119	-.0489113	.426891
rural	.385538	.1216905	3.17	0.002	.1461402	.6249359
hofp5	-.0119034	.1341035	-0.09	0.929	-.2757209	.251914
hcfp5	.0164262	.1180333	0.14	0.889	-.2157768	.2486292
difp5	-.0439007	.1051377	-0.42	0.677	-.2507347	.1629334
numfpmess	-.2520981	.0289951	-8.69	0.000	-.3091393	-.1950569
resnumfp	.1967283	.0313777	6.27	0.000	.1349999	.2584567
_cons	5.248691	.1801139	29.14	0.000	4.894359	5.603023

```

. /* Examine the significance of the residuals: variable resnumfp */

```

```

. /* in this case resnumfp is significant, therefore variable numfpmess is endogenous, you did well by
using 2SLS */
. /* what if you would've found that resnumfp was not significant? */

.

. /* Alternative procedure: ivregress */
. /* it generates better standard errors */

.

. ivregress 2sls idealnum age20_24 age25_29 age30_34 age35_39 age40_44 age45_49 edu16 edu7 edu8p
poor rural hofp5 hcfp5 difp5 (numfpmess=lisradio soapop), vce(cluster clusterid)

```

Instrumental variables (2SLS) regression

Number of obs	=	6,866
Wald chi2(15)	=	1486.42
Prob > chi2	=	0.0000
R-squared	=	0.2049
Root MSE	=	2.101

(Std. Err. adjusted for 327 clusters in clusterid)

idealnum	Coef.	Robust				
		Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
numfpmess	-.2520981	.0295549	-8.53	0.000	-.3100246	-.1941716
age20_24	.6324292	.0736917	8.58	0.000	.4879962	.7768622
age25_29	.9995317	.0795878	12.56	0.000	.8435424	1.155521
age30_34	1.354261	.091386	14.82	0.000	1.175148	1.533374
age35_39	1.626333	.094583	17.19	0.000	1.440953	1.811712
age40_44	1.792447	.1311723	13.66	0.000	1.535354	2.04954
age45_49	1.898088	.1563132	12.14	0.000	1.59172	2.204456
edu16	-.6976763	.097931	-7.12	0.000	-.8896175	-.5057352
edu7	-.9038628	.1070122	-8.45	0.000	-1.113603	-.6941228
edu8p	-1.51835	.1557308	-9.75	0.000	-1.823577	-1.213123
poor	.1889899	.1183616	1.60	0.110	-.0429945	.4209743
rural	.385538	.1203968	3.20	0.001	.1495646	.6215115
hofp5	-.0119034	.1303021	-0.09	0.927	-.2672908	.2434839
hcfp5	.0164262	.1151891	0.14	0.887	-.2093403	.2421926
difp5	-.0439007	.1028442	-0.43	0.669	-.2454715	.1576702
_cons	5.248691	.1804954	29.08	0.000	4.894927	5.602456

Instrumented: numfpmess
Instruments: age20_24 age25_29 age30_34 age35_39 age40_44 age45_49 edu16
edu7 edu8p poor rural hofp5 hcfp5 difp5 lisradio soapop

```

. log close
    name: <unnamed>
    log:

```